



Paired Comparison Preference Models

Practicals and Home work: Part 5

Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger
Department of Statistics and Mathematics, WU Vienna

Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger

2011-May-7

Exercise 2: Analyse Poverty Data

▷ Data file: `sichtleist.RData`

5 Items aus der EU-SILC Studie (2008):

Es gibt Dinge, die sich viele Haushalte nicht leisten können, obwohl Sie gerne möchten.
Können Sie sich leisten...

`urlaub`
einmal im Jahr eine Woche Urlaub an einem anderen Ort zu machen, wenn Sie für die Unterkunft bezahlen müssen?

`speise`
jeden zweiten Tag Fleisch, Fisch, Geflügel (oder eine entsprechende vegetarische Speise zu essen)?

`bekleid`
bei Bedarf neue Kleidung zu kaufen?

`warm`
die gesamte Wohnung angemessen warm zu halten?

`gaeste`
einmal monatlich Freunde oder Verwandte zu sich () nach Hause zum Essen einzuladen?

jeweils: kann es sich der Haushalt leisten: 1 ...ja, 2 ...nein

Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger

2011-May-7

Exercise 1: Continue analysis from lecture



▷ Data file: `trdeliv2.RData`
▷ Models in: `trdel2sex_models.RData`
▷ Description in: `trdeliv2.html`

Questions:

- 1 try to explain model with 3 classes and fixed effect `sex` (`mm3`)
- 2 fit a model with `Lerntypen` as fixed effect:
proceed as presented in the lecture:
 - set up design
 - fit models and find appropriate number of classes
 - calculate and plot worth parameters
 - try to explain classes with additional subject covariates

Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger

2011-May-7

Exercise 2: Analyse Poverty Data



Subject Variables:

`lochstopf` ... 900 Euro-Ausgabe aus eigenen Mitteln finanzierbar 1 ja 2 nein

<code>finanzprob</code> .. Finanzielle Schwierigkeiten	1 Nie
	2 Immer wieder kleinere
	3 Schwere liegen mehr als 5 Jahre zurück
	4 Schwere in den letzten 5 Jahren

`kinder` ... Haushalte mit/ohne Kinder 1 ohne 2 mit

`armut` ... Armutgefährdung bei 40% des Medians 1 ja 2 nein

Aufgaben:

proceed as before: fit models with/without fixed effects

(hint: when setting up the design pay attention to the response format)

Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger

2011-May-7



Exercise 3: Attitudes towards sexual relations with ...

▷ Data file: attidsexPC.RData

3 Items from the British Social Attitudes survey (2008, National Centre for Social Research):
(Likert items transformed to PC: 1 always wrong, ... 4 Not wrong at all)

- the items are:

SB Sexual relations before marriage

SO Adultery (sex with others)

SH Adult homosexual relations

there are 3 comparisons which are coded as:

1 ...if 1st item is considered more wrong than the other
-1 ...if 2nd item is considered more wrong than the other
0 ...both considered as equally wrong

subject covariates are:

sex 1 male 2 female

age metric (recode)

comp (ever use a computer for any reason?) 1 yes 2 no

pol (Left-right: where would you place your views?) 1 left ... 10 right

relig (How important is religion in your daily life?) 1 very important...4 Not at all important

god (Think God is angered by human sin?)
1 Yes, definitely
2 Yes, probably
3 No, probably not
4 No, definitely not
5 Dont believe in God



Exercise 3: Attitudes towards sexual relations with ...

Tasks:

Use the data attidsexPC.RData (from course-Webpage)

1 check how many missings are in the 3 comparisons? (hint: `checkMIS()`)

2 fit a model for the complete cases (without subject covariates)

3 generate the worth and plot the worth-parameter

4 Fit the reference model including missing values under MCAR (2nd approach) without subject covariates (hint: use original data – use option: `NI=TRUE` but no α s and β s)
(hint: type in R `"pattPC.fit()"` and press Tab twice to get options needed)

5 additionally include α s for each item

6 additionally include β s for each item

7 use deviances to decide if there are missings not at random

8 examine the log odds $2\beta_j + 2\beta_k$

11 choose 2 interesting subject covariates and fit models
(MNAR model + subject covariates not possible ●)

12 which subject covariates model would you choose?