

Individual Treatment Effect Prediction Using Model-Based Random Forests

Heidi Seibold, Achim Zeileis, Torsten Hothorn

https://eeecon.uibk.ac.at/~zeileis/

Motivation: Overall treatment effect

Base model:

R> basemodel <- model(response ~ treatment, data)

Base model:

R> basemodel <- model(response ~ treatment, data)</pre>

Subgroup interaction model:

R> sgrpmodel <- model(response ~ treatment * gender, data)</pre>

Base model:

R> basemodel <- model(response ~ treatment, data)</pre>

Subgroup interaction model:

R> sgrpmodel <- model(response ~ treatment * gender, data)</pre>

Equivalently:

```
R> sgmodel_m <- model(response ~ treatment, data,
+ subset = gender == "male")
R> sgmodel_f <- model(response ~ treatment, data,
+ subset = gender == "female")
```

Base model:

R> basemodel <- model(response ~ treatment, data)</pre>

Subgroup interaction model:

R> sgrpmodel <- model(response ~ treatment * gender, data)</pre>

Equivalently:

```
R> sgmodel_m <- model(response ~ treatment, data,
+ weights = as.numeric(gender == "male"))
R> sgmodel_f <- model(response ~ treatment, data,
+ weights = as.numeric(gender == "female"))
```

Base model:

R> basemodel <- model(response ~ treatment, data)</pre>

Subgroup interaction model:

R> sgrpmodel <- model(response ~ treatment * gender, data)</pre>

Equivalently:

```
R> sgmodel_m <- model(response ~ treatment, data,
+ weights = as.numeric(gender == "male"))
R> sgmodel_f <- model(response ~ treatment, data,
+ weights = as.numeric(gender == "female"))
```

Next steps:

- Find data-driven subgroups.
- Refine from *stratified* to *personalized* treatment effects.

From stratified to personalized treatment effects

Basic idea:

- Treatment-subgroup *interactions* can also be represented by *subgroups* or *weights*.
- Rather than hard 0/1 grouping, a soft weighting would enable observation-specific and thus personalized models.
- Use model-based forests and trees to find the weights in a data-driven way.

Goal: Find subgroups of observations that are (almost) homogenous with respect to the parameters of the base model.

Model-based recursive partitioning:

- Fit the base model to the data e.g., intercept plus treatment effect.
- Assess whether the *model scores* are associated with (or change along) any of the available covariates e.g., using parameter instability tests (*strucchange*) or conditional inference (*coin*).
- Split the sample along the covariate with the strongest association or instability. Choose breakpoint with highest improvement of the model fit – e.g., in terms of log-likelihood.
- Repeat steps 1–3 recursively in the subgroups until some stopping criterion is met – e.g., for significance or sample size.





Weights: Only observations *j* in the same subgroup as observation *i* enter the corresponding subgroup model.



Weights: Only observations *j* in the same subgroup as observation *i* enter the corresponding subgroup model.

```
R> sgmodel_1 <- model(response ~ treatment, data,
+ weights = as.numeric(subgroup == 1))
```





Weights: Obtain a finer measure of similarity between all observations *j* and observation *i* via a forest/ensemble of trees.



Weights: Obtain a finer measure of similarity between all observations *j* and observation *i* via a forest/ensemble of trees.

Randomization:

- Subsample of the training data (per tree).
- Subsample of covariates (per node).



Weights: Obtain a finer measure of similarity between all observations *j* and observation *i* via a forest/ensemble of trees.

Aggregate: The weight of observation *j* for modeling the treatment effect for observation *i* is the sum (or mean) of assignments to the same node $\Rightarrow w_{ij} = 2$ (or equivalently 2/3).

Personalized model:

R> pmodel_i <- model(response ~ treatment, data, weights = w_i)</pre>

- Observation *j* enters $w_{ij} = 2$ times in pmodel_{*j*}.
- Observations *j* are the entire learning data.
- Observations *i* may be in-sample observations from the learning data or new out-of-sample observations.

PRO-ACT database

Pooled Resource Open-Access ALS Clinical Trials Database:

- Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.
- Riluzole versus no treatment.
- 23 phase-2 clinical trials.
- Two primary endpoints:
 - Survival time (3306 patients, 18 covariates).
 - ALS functional rating scale (2534 patients, 57 covariates).

https://nctu.partners.org/ProACT/

PRO-ACT database

Pooled Resource Open-Access ALS Clinical Trials Database:

- Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.
- Riluzole versus no treatment.
- 23 phase-2 clinical trials.
- Two primary endpoints:
 - Survival time (3306 patients, 18 covariates).
 - ALS functional rating scale (2534 patients, 57 covariates).



https://nctu.partners.org/ProACT/

Survival time: Weibull model

Base model:

$$\mathsf{P}(Y \leq y | X = x) = \mathsf{F}\left(\frac{\log(y) - \alpha_1 - \beta x}{\alpha_2}\right)$$



	estimate	2.5 %	97.5 %
α_1	6.71	6.64	6.77
β	0.11	0.03	0.18
$og(\alpha_2)$	-0.58	-0.64	-0.53

Survival time: Weibull model

Base model:

```
R> library("survival")
R> basemodel <- survreg(Surv(survival.time, cens) ~ Riluzole,
+ data = ALSsurvdata, dist = "weibull")</pre>
```

Score extractor:

```
R> wbscore <- function(data, weights) {
+
     mod <- survreg(Surv(survival.time, cens) ~ Riluzole,</pre>
+
       data = data, weights = weights, subset = weights > 0,
+
       dist = "weibull", init = c(6.7, 0))
+
+
     ef <- as.matrix(sandwich::estfun(mod))</pre>
+
+
     ret <- matrix(0, nrow = nrow(data), ncol = ncol(ef))</pre>
+
     ret[weights > 0,] <- ef</pre>
+
+
     ret
   7
+
```

Survival time: Weibull forest

Weibull forest:

```
R> alsforest <- cforest(
+ survival.time + cens + Riluzole ~ age + gender + etc,
+ data = ALSsurvdata, ytrafo = wbscore,
+ ntree = 100, perturb = list(replace = FALSE))</pre>
```

Weights:

```
R> w <- predict(alsforest, type = "weights", OOB = TRUE)</pre>
```

Personalized model for patient *i*:

```
R> pmodel_i <- survreg(Surv(survival.time, cens) ~ Riluzole,
+ data = ALSsurvdata, dist = "weibull", weights = w[, i])
```

Survival time: Dependence plots

Visualization: Dependence of median survival time difference on most important patient characteristics.



ALSFRS: Gaussian GLM with log link

Base model:



ALSFRS: Dependence plots

Visualization: Dependence of treatment effect β_i (log-scale) on most important patient characteristics.



Check for overfitting

Assessment: Difference in log-likelihood against base model.

$$\Delta(\ell) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell((\text{response}, \text{treatment})_i, \text{pmodel}_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell((\text{response}, \text{treatment})_i, \text{basemodel})$$

Comparison: Observed vs. maximum obtained in 50 parametric bootstrap samples drawn under the base-model null hypothesis.

$\Delta(\ell)$	Survival	ALSFRS
Observed	71.5	851.0
Maximum bootstrapped	1.0	122.7

References

Seibold H, Zeileis A, Hothorn T (2017). "Individual Treatment Effect Prediction for ALS Patients." *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, Forthcoming. Preprint version at https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.08720

Seibold H, Zeileis A, Hothorn T (2016). "Model-Based Recursive Partitioning for Subgroup Analyses." *International Journal of Biostatistics*, **12**(1), 45–63. doi:10.1515/ijb-2015-0032

Hothorn T, Zeileis A (2015). "partykit: A Modular Toolkit for Recursive Partytioning in R." *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, **16**, 3905–3909. http://www.jmlr.org/papers/v16/hothorn15a.html

R package: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=partykit

Replication materials for personalized models: https://github.com/HeidiSeibold/personalised_medicine